CONQUER **CANCER®**

Loxo Oncology Endowed Merit Awards

MERIT AWARD RECIPIENT

THE ASCO FOUNDATIO

Supported by Loxo Oncology Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Eli Lilly and Company

Background

We studied loss of function (LoF) mutations within the interferon (IFN) pathway (JAK1 or JAK2) and in the antigen presentation pathway (beta-2-microglobulin-*B2M*) found in biopsies from patients [1,2] who are resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy, and tested strategies to overcome the resistance. Using CRISPR/ Cas9 genome editing we generated *JAK1*, *JAK2* and *B2M* knockout (KO) sublines of the murine MC38 colon carcinoma, a model of high mutational load cancer that responds well to anti-PD-1, as well as of human MART-1+ melanoma cell lines, tested using *in-vitro* T cell co-culture systems. We analyzed signaling changes in human cell lines (parental and KOs) exposed to IFN-gamma using RNAseq. In addition, we performed *in-vivo* antitumor activity in the MC38 model variants using mass cytometry (CyTOF) to characterize the tumor microenvironment. Finally, we tested strategies to overcome resistance mechanisms with SD-101 (TLR-9 agonist) and bempegaldesleukin (NKTR-214, a CD-122 preferential IL-2 pathway agonist). with the extent of CD4, CD8 and NK1.1 depletion.

Results

JAK1/2 LoF mutations result in insensitivity to IFN induced antitumor effects, but does not impair T cell recognition and cytotoxicity, while B2M LoF results in lack of antigen presentation to T cells and loss of antitumor activity



Fig. 1: (A) Human melanoma cell lines showed growth inhibition in response to direct in vitro treatment with interferon alpha, beta, or gamma, whereas the JAK2-KO was insensitive specifically to interferon gamma and the JAK1-KO was insensitive to all three interferons. (B) The measure of PD-L1 and MHC class I after IFNs stimulation. JAK1/2 KOs ability to up-regulate both expressions upon IFN-gamma stimulation were dramatically reduced, compared to WT. (C) Parental M202 as well as the JAK1/2-KO and B2M-KO were co-cultured and recognized by MART-1specific T cells. There was no difference in *in-vitro* cytotoxicity against JAK1/2-KO-MART-1+ melanoma cells compared to the parental, but B2M-KO was resistant to killing. Growth curves represent the percent in the confluence of cells over time as measured by IncuCyte continuous live-cell imaging. ns not significant; *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001; ****p<0.0001. Cytotoxicity assays were conducted by real-time live cell imaging in an IncuCyte ZOOM (Essen Biosciences). M202 cell line were stably transfected with a nuclear localizing RFP (NucLight Red Lentivirus EF1a) Reagent, Essen Biosciences) to facilitate cell counts.

Overcoming genetically-based resistance mechanisms to PD-1 blockade

Davis Torrejon Castro¹, Gabriel Abril-Rodriguez¹⁻², Jennifer Tsoi¹, Ameya Champhekar¹, Anusha Kalbasi¹, Katie Campbell¹, Giulia Parisi¹, Cristina Puig¹, Gardenia Cheung-Lau³, Tom Wohlwender³, Beata Berent-Maoz¹, Catherine S. Grasso¹⁻², Begoña Comin-Anduix^{2,3}, Siwen Hu-Lieskovan¹⁻², Antoni Ribas¹⁻²

1. Department of Medicine, Division of Hematology-Oncology, University of California, Los Angeles, and the Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA 2. Parker Institute for Cancer Immunotherapy, San Francisco, CA 94129, USA

3. Department of Surgery, Division of Surgical-Oncology, and the Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

The IFN-gamma-induced increased expression of antigen presenting machinery, IFN-gamma signaling and chemokines is lost with JAK1/2 LoF mutations



Modeling resistance to PD1-blockade in MC38 mouse model



Fig. 3: JAK 1/2 and B2M knockout results in resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy. MC-38 is a model of a highly mutated carcinogen-induced murine cancer, which responds to anti-PD-1 therapy. However, this antitumor response is completely loss when knocking out JAK1 or JAK2, or B2M using CRISPR/Cas9. Tumor growth curves of these cell lines with 5 mice in each group (mean ± SEM) after anti-PD-1 or untreated. The arrow indicates the days of treatment with anti-PD-1 or isotype control was started. p value was determined by unpaired t test.

a-PD-1 therapy was unable to increase tumor T-CD8 cells in the JAK1/2 and B2M LoF mutant tumors and predominantly were terminal T-CD8 exhausted



Fig 4: Identification of MC38 tumor T-CD8 immune cell population by CyTOF: (A) Frequency of T-CD8 immune-cell (non-terminal T-CD8 exhausted) displayed on a per-mouse basis with mean-SD unpaired t test (B) Heatmap with the normalized median% for each cluster obtained with FlowSOM on CD8+ T cell markers. Clusters >0.5% were analyzed (C) t-SNE plot of MC38 T-CD8 infiltrating population cells overlaid with color-coded clusters and the differentiation of terminal (red) and progenitor (blue) exhausted T-cells.

2.5:1 Mock

Fig. 2: Immune escape with JAK LoF mutations. The IFN-gammainduced increased expression of antigen presenting machinery, IFNgamma signaling and chemokines is lost with JAK1/2 LoF mutations. Gene expression heatmap from the four groups of melanoma cell lines (x-axis): M407 (NY-ESO-1 positive), M420, M202 (MART-1-positive) and M233 illustrating changes from before/after IFN gamma exposure. Associated cell line, genotype, and INFG treatment are indicated. Gene expression is depicted as the Z score of gene expression (in FPKM) across all samples. Genes (y axis) are ordered by those associated with antigen presentation machinery (APM), IFNG signaling response, and chemokines (shown



Overcome resistance to PD-1 blockade

Fig. 5: (A) Effect of intratumoral (i.t) SD-101, TLR-9 agonist, on tumor growth at treat and non-treated "abscopal effect" sites. 3x10⁵ MC38 tumor cells were injected s.c, in both flanks of C57/BL6 mice on day 0. Anti-PD-1 (four doses) treatment started at day 5. Mice were treated with i.p. injections of anti-PD-1 or Isotype administered on days 5, 8, 10 and 13. After first anti-PD1 injection, mice started receiving intratumoral injections of 50 ug of SD-101 or CTRL-PBS administered on days 7, 12, 15 and 19. A separate group of mice received SD-101 alone. (B) Long term survival (left flank, n>10 mice per group). Differences in survival were examined using Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. (C) Depletion studies with CD8 and NK1.1 antibodies. In (A) and (C) data represented as mean +/- SEM from an n of 5. Dunnett's multiple comparison tests for Control vs. other treatments.





Fig. 6: (A) Effect of bempegaldesleukin-NKTR-214 on tumor growth of MC38 *wildtype* and *B2M* LoF tumors. 3x10⁵ MC38 tumor cells were injected s.c, in left flank of C57/BL6 mice on day 0. Anti-PD-1 (four doses) treatment started at day 5. Mice were treated with bempegaldesleukin (0.8 mg/ kg, q9dx2, i.v) on day 8. (B) Long term survival (left flank, n=10 mice per group). Differences in survival were examined using Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. (C) Depletion studies with CD4, CD8 and NK1.1 antibodies. In (A) and (C) data represented as mean +/- SEM from an n of 5. Dunnett's multiple comparison tests for Control vs. other treatments.

Conclusions

- ✤ JAK1/2 LoF mutations result in insensitivity to IFN induced antitumor effects, but does not impair T cell recognition and cytotoxicity, while B2M LoF results in lack of antigen presentation to T cells and loss of antitumor activity.
- ✤ JAK 1/2 and B2M LoF mutations lead to in-vivo resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy.
- ✤ JAK1/2 and B2M LoF mutations resistance can be overcome by a TLR9 agonist or a CD-122 preferential IL-2 pathway agonist.

1. Zaretsky JM, et al. N Engl J Med. 2016 Sep 1;375(9):819-29. 2. Shin DS, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017 Feb;7(2):188-201.



